Case 2:22-cr-00243-TLN Document 19 Filed 02/03/23 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
3			
4			
5	Facsimile: (916) 554-2900		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7	United States of America		
8	IN THE UNITED ST	ΓATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-243-TLN	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER	
14	LOUIS DONALD MENDONSA, DATE: February 9, 2023		
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. Troy L. Nunley	
16			
17	STIPULATION		
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and		
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
20	1. By previous order, this matter was	s set for status on February 9, 2023.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until April 20		
22	2023, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between February 9, 2023, and April 20, 2023, under Local Cod		
23	T4.		
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, ar	nd request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has repre	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes investigative reports, surveillance photographs and reports, forensic extractions of		
27	defendant's electronic devices. All of this discovery has been either produced directly to counse		
28	and/or made available for inspection and copying. Additionally, the government recently		

obtained records related to defendant's prior convictions, including police reports and state court documents, which the government is reducting in order to produce in discovery.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the current charges, conduct necessary investigation, to review forensic discovery, and to review additional discovery in order to prepare pretrial motions, discuss potential resolutions with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of February 9, 2023 to April 20, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Case 2:22-cr-00243-TLN Document 19 Filed 02/03/23 Page 3 of 3

1	Dated: February 2, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
2		
3		/s/ EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU
4		Assistant United States Attorney
5		
6	Dated: February 2, 2023	/s/ Doug Beevers
		Doug Beevers Counsel for Defendant
7		Louis Donald Mendonsa
8		
9		
10		ORDER
11	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDEREI	D this 3 rd day of February, 2023.
12		
13		
14		My Hunlay
15		Troy L. Nunley
16		United States District Judge
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		